

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/786,288	02/26/2004	Brig Barnum Elliott	03-4037	. 3992
²⁸¹²⁰ FISH & NEAV	7590 03/30/2007 E IP GROUP	EXAMINER		
ROPES & GRAY LLP ONE INTERNATIONAL PLACE BOSTON, MA 02110-2624			NGUYEN, TUAN HOANG	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS 03/30/2007		PAF	PER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/786,288	ELLIOTT, BRIG BARNUM		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Tuan H. Nguyen	2618		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01/11 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-38 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	vn from consideration. r election requirement.	- · · ·		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Explanation is objected to by the Explanation is objected.	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob-	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

DETAILED ACTION

Response To Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see applicant's remarks, filed on 01/11/2007, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-38 under 35 U.S.C § 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1-3, 8-11, 15-18, 20-21, 24, 26-27, 34, and 37-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Twitchell, Jr. (US PAT. 6,745,027 hereinafter, "Twitchell").

Page 3

Consider claims 1 and 34, Twitchell teaches for a given node to join an ad hoc network of a plurality of energy-conserving nodes, comprising: transmitting a wake-up signal (col. 3 lines 1-12); and receiving a message from one of the energy-conserving nodes in the network, the message (profile information) including information sufficient for the given node to determine how to join the network (fig. 12 col. 11 lines 57-64); and joining the network using the information (col. 11 lines 57-64).

Consider claim 2, Twitchell further teaches the transmitting comprises: powering on a bellringer transmitter (col. 7 lines 26-30), transmitting a wake-up signal (col. 3 lines 1-12), and powering off the bellringer transmitter (col. 7 lines 7-10).

Consider claim 3, Twitchell further teaches the receiving comprises: Powering on a main transceiver (col. 7 lines 26-30); waiting to receive the message from the one of the energy-conserving nodes (col. 11 lines 57-64), and receiving the message from the one of the energy-conserving nodes, the message including information Consider a time when at least one node of the energy-conserving nodes is available to receive messages (col. 11 lines 57-64).

Art Unit: 2618

Consider claim 8, Twitchell further teaches receiving, by the one of the energy-conserving nodes, the wake-up signal (col. 3 lines 1-12); and transmitting the message, by the one of the energy-conserving nodes, responsive to receiving the wake-up signal (col. 11 lines 57-64).

Consider claim 9, Twitchell further teaches waiting a random time interval, by the one of the energy-conserving nodes, before transmitting the message (col. 9 line 44 through col. 10 line 10).

Consider claim 10, Twitchell further teaches waiting a deterministic time interval, by the one of the energy-conserving nodes, before transmitting the message (col. 9 line 44 through col. 10 line 10).

Consider claim 11, Twitchell further teaches determining, after the one of the energy-conserving nodes receives the wake-up signal, whether the one of the energy conserving nodes is to respond to the wake-up signal (col. 10 lines 29-39), wherein the one of the energy-conserving nodes performs the transmitting of the message only when the one of the energy-conserving nodes determines that the one of the energy-conserving nodes is to respond to the wake-up signal (col. 10 lines 29-39).

Consider claim 15, Twitchell further teaches the determining whether the one of the energy-conserving nodes is to respond is based on one or more certain periods in

Art Unit: 2618

which the one of the energy-conserving nodes is permitted to respond (col. 9 lines 44-67).

Consider claim 16, Twitchell further teaches the message comprises times when one or more of the energy-conserving nodes are available to receive data and corresponding channels on which the one or more of the energy-conserving nodes are to receive at the times (col. 9 lines 44-67).

Consider claim 17, Twitchell teaches energy-conserving ad hoc network including a plurality of nodes, each of the nodes comprising: a transceiver configured to receive and transmit data messages (col. 3 lines 1-12); processing logic (col. 2 lines 37-39); a memory configured to store a schedule of reception times (col. 9 lines 44-67); a bellringer transmitter (col. 7 lines 26-30); and a bellringer receiver, wherein: when the node is an existing node in the network (col. 16 lines 20-27), the processing logic is configured to: receive a wake-up signal via the bellringer receiver (col. 3 lines 1-12) and responsive to the receiving of the wake-up signal, transmit, via the transceiver, a message including the schedule of reception times (col. 9 line 44 through col. 10 line 10), when the node is a node joining the network (col. 11 lines 57-64), the processing logic is configured to: transmit the wake-up signal (col. 3 lines 1-12), receive the message from an existing one of the nodes in the network (col. 16 lines 20-27), and join the network based on the message (col. 11 lines 57-64).

Art Unit: 2618

Consider claim 18, Twitchell further teaches when the node is an existing node, the processing logic of the node is further configured to wait a random time interval before responding to the wake-up signal (col. 9 line 44 through col. 10 line 10).

Consider claim 20, Twitchell teaches a node configured to operate in an energy-conserving ad hoc network, the node comprising: a transceiver configured to send and receive data messages (col. 3 lines 1-12); a transmitter configured to transmit a wake-up signal (col. 3 lines 1-12); and processing logic configured to control operation of the transceiver and the transmitter (col. 3 lines 1-12), wherein the processing logic is further configured to: transmit the wake-up signal via the transmitter (col. 3 lines 1-12); receive a network entry message from one of a plurality of existing nodes in the network, the network entry message including scheduled times in which at least one of the existing nodes in the network is available to receive (col. 9 line 44 through col. 10 line 10 and col. 16 lines 20-27), and join the network using the scheduled times (col. 11 lines 57-64).

Consider claim 21, Krantz further teaches the processing logic is further configured to: turn off the transmitter after transmitting the wake-up signal, and turn on the transceiver (col. 7 lines 39-48).

Consider claim 24, Twitchell further teaches a bellringer receiver, wherein the processing logic is further configured to cause the node to function as an existing

network node after joining the network, when functioning as an existing network node, the processing logic is further configured to: receive, via the bellringer receiver, a wake up signal transmitted by another node (col. 3 lines 1-12 and col. 9 line 44 through col. 10 line 10), wait a random time interval (col. 9 line 44 through col. 10 line 10), power on the transceiver (col. 7 lines 26-30), transmit the network entry message via the transceiver (col. 3 lines 1-12), and power off the transceiver (col. 10 lines 7-10).

Consider claim 26, Twitchell teaches a machine-readable medium having instructions recorded thereon for at least one processor of a node, when the instructions are executed by the at least one processor, the at least one processor is configured to: transmit a wake-up signal when the node intends to join a network (col. 15 line 56 through col. 16 line 7), receiving a network entry message from an existing node in the network, the message including information Consider availability of at least one network node for receiving a message from the node (col. 16 lines 20-27), and join the network based on the information included in the network entry message (col. 11 lines 57-64).

Consider claim 27, Twitchell further teaches when the instructions are executed by the at least one processor, the at least one processor is further configured to: turn on a transmitter before the transmitting of the wake-up signal (col. 7 lines 26-30), and turn off the transmitter after the transmitting of the wake-up signal (col. 10 lines 7-10).

Art Unit: 2618

Consider claim 30, Twitchell teaches a machine-readable medium having instructions recorded thereon for at least one processor of a node, when the instructions are executed by the at least one processor, the at least one processor is configured to: receive a wake-up signal via a bellringer receiver (col. 7 lines 26-30 and col. 16 lines 20-27) and responsive to the receiving of the wake-up signal, transmit a network entry message including scheduled times in which one or more of existing network nodes are available to receive (col. 9 line 44 through col. 10 line 10).

Consider claim 31, Twitchell further teaches the at least one processor is further configured to: wait a random time interval before transmitting the network entry message (col. 9 line 44 through col. 10 line 10).

Consider claim 32, Twitchell further teaches the at least one processor is further configured to: after receiving the wake-up signal, determine whether to respond to the wake-up signal (col. 7 lines 39-48).

Consider claim 37, Twitchell teaches a node in an ad hoc network, comprising: a memory configured to store one or more time periods during which the node is available to receive (col. 9 line 44 through col. 10 line 10); a processor configured to: transmit a first wake-up signal (col. 3 lines 1-12), receive a message from a neighboring node, the message identifying one or more time periods during which the neighboring node is available to receive (col. 9 line 44 through col. 10 line 10), store the one or more time

periods from the message in the memory (col. 5 lines 15-24), receive a second wake-up signal from a different node (col. 12 lines 12-16), and transmit a message to the different node, the message including at least the one or more time periods during which the node is available to receive (col. 5 lines 15-24).

Consider claim 38, Twitchell further teaches the message transmitted to the different node further includes the one or more time periods during which the neighboring node is available to receive (col. 14 lines 23-42).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 4-6, 12-14, 19, 22-23, 25, 33, and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Twitchell in view of Balachandran et al. (U.S PUB. 2004/0230638 hereinafter, "Balachandran").

Consider claim 4, Twitchell teaches for a given node to join an ad hoc network of a plurality of energy-conserving nodes.

Art Unit: 2618

Twitchell does not explicitly show that retransmitting the wake-up signal when a message is not received during a predefined time period.

In the same field of endeavor, Balachandran teaches retransmitting the wake-up signal when a message is not received during a predefined time period (page 1 [0007]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use, retransmitting the wake-up signal when a message is not received during a predefined time period, as taught by Balachandran, in order to provide scheduling the awakening of nodes and for adaptively setting sleep periods of nodes in a synchronous multiple node ad-hoc network.

Consider claim 5, Balachandran further teaches setting the time period to a variable value (page 1 [0007]).

Consider claim 6, Balachandran further teaches setting the time period to a fixed value (page 1 [0007]).

Consider claim 12, Balachandran further teaches the determining whether the one of the energy-conserving nodes is to respond is a random decision (page 2 [0022]).

Consider claim 13, Balachandran further teaches the determining whether the one of the energy-conserving nodes is to respond is based on a received signal strength of the wake-up signal (page 2 [0011]).

Art Unit: 2618

Consider claim 14, Balachandran further teaches the determining whether the one of the energy-conserving nodes is to respond is based on an understanding of a current network density from a point of view of the one of the energy-conserving nodes (page 3 [0028]).

Page 11

Consider claim 19, Balachandran teaches when the node is an existing node, the processing logic further is configured to determine whether to respond to the received wake-up signal based on one of a random decision (page 2 [0022]), a received signal strength of the wake-up signal (page 2 [0011]), a current density of the network from a point of view of the existing node (page 3 [0028]), and one or more certain time periods during which the existing node is configured to respond to the received wake-up signal (page 2 [0022]).

Consider claim 22, Balachandran further teaches the processing logic is further configured to: wait for reception of the network entry message after transmitting the wake-up signal (page 1 [0007]), and when a time limit is exceeded before receiving the network entry message, turn off the transceiver, wait a duration of a first time interval, turn on the transmitter (page 2 [0022]), and retransmit the wake-up signal (page 1 [0007]).

Art Unit: 2618

Consider claim 23, Balachandran further teaches the first time interval is a variable interval and the processing logic is further configured to: increase a length of the first time interval as a number of failed network joining attempts for the node increases (page 2 [0011]).

Consider claim 25, Balachandran further teaches the node functions as an existing node, the processing logic is further configured to: determine whether to respond to the received wake-up signal based on one of a random decision (page 2 [0022]), a received signal strength of the wake-up signal (page 2 [0011]), a current density of the network from a point of view of the node (page 3 [0028]), and one or more certain time periods during which the node is configured to respond to the received wake-up signal (page 2 [0011]).

Consider claim 33, Balachandran further teaches the determining whether to respond is based on one of a random decision (page 2 [0022]), a received signal strength of the wake up signal, a current density of the network from a point of view of the node (page 2 [0011]), and one or more certain time periods during which the node is configured to respond to the received wake-up signal (page 2 [0022]).

Consider claim 35, Balachandran further teaches the receiving comprises: waiting a duration of a first time interval when the network entry message is not received after a predetermined time limit (page 1 [0007]).

6. Claims 28 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Twitchell in view of Bahl et al. (U.S PUB. 2004/0218580 hereinafter, "Bahl").

Consider claim 28, Twitchell teaches a machine-readable medium having instructions recorded thereon for at least one processor of a node, when the instructions are executed by the at least one processor.

Twitchell does not explicitly show that the at least one processor is further configured to: when the receiving of the entry message fails to occur during a predetermined time limit: wait for a duration of a first time interval, and transmit the wake-up signal.

In the same field of endeavor, Bahl teaches the at least one processor is further configured to: when the receiving of the entry message fails to occur during a predetermined time limit: wait for a duration of a first time interval (page 6 [0050]), and transmit the wake-up signal (page 6 [0052]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use, retransmitting the wake-up signal when a message is not received during a predefined time period, as taught by Bahl, in order to provide a system and method for devices to concurrently connect to multiple networks and be synchronized during the time in which the devices stay in an ad hoc network.

Consider claim 29, Bahl further teaches the first time interval is a variable time interval and the at least one processor is further configured to: set the first time interval to a relatively small value (page 6 [0050]), and increase the value of the first time interval as a number of failed network joining attempts increases (page 6 [0052]).

Page 14

7. Claims 7 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Twitchell in view of Balachandran, and further in view of Bahl et al. (U.S PUB. 2004/0218580 hereinafter, "Bahl").

Consider claim 7, Twitchell and Balachandran in combination, fails to teaches setting the time period to a relatively small value, and increasing a value of the time period as a number of failed network joining attempts increases.

However, Bahl teaches setting the time period to a relatively small value (page 6 [0050]), and increasing a value of the time period as a number of failed network joining attempts increases (page 6 [0052]).

Therefore, it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the disclosing of Bahl into view of Twitchell, Krantz, and Balachandran, in order to provide a system and method for devices to concurrently connect to multiple networks and be synchronized during the time in which the devices stay in an ad hoc network.

Consider claim 36, Bahl further teaches for waiting a duration of a first time interval comprises: means for setting the first time interval (page 6 [0050]), and means for increasing the first time interval as a number of failed network joining attempts increases for the node (page 6 [0052]).

Conclusion

8.	Any response to this action should be mailed to:	

Mail Stop_____ (Explanation, e.g., Amendment or After-final, etc.)

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Facsimile responses should be faxed to:

(571) 273-8300

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Customer Service Window

Randolph Building

401 Dulany Street

Alexandria, VA 22313

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan H. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571)272-8329. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00Am - 5:00Pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/786,288 Page 16

Art Unit: 2618

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Maung Nay A. can be reached on (571)272-7882882. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information Consider the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tuan Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 2618

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER